



Information	Mark
Level 3: The claims and opinions are supported with evidence. There is a good use of information or ideas about the topic, including information in addition to that given in the activity.	5-6
A good argument including moral, social, and clinical concerns.	
Minimum 4 specific points.	
Balanced argument including points for and against.	
Clear conclusion given.	
Level 2: The claims and opinions are partly supported with evidence. There is a good use of the information or ideas about the topic, but these are limited to those presented in the activity.	3-4
Minimum 3 specific points.	
Argument including points for and against (although not necessarily balanced).	
Level 1: Valid points made but not formed into an argument.	1-2
Information about the topic comes only from that provided in the activity, and no additional details or new ideas are shared.	
Less than 3 points are given.	
The points made are vague and may not clearly be for or against.	
No relevant content.	0
Indicative content:	
against the use of embryonic stem cells:	
moral status of embryo as a potential human life	
respect/rights for life	
potential for the technology to be misused	
 human embryos are destroyed during the cloning process 	
 from 24 weeks an embryo is classed as viable, this is destroying a life 	
 curing people who are ill interferes with the process of natural selection 	
for the use of embryonic stem cells:	
duty to use knowledge and relieve human suffering	
idea of the benefits for the greater good	
• people suffering with diseases which are currently incurable could be treated/helped	
moral status of an embryo is not equivalent to a living human	
embryos lost naturally at this stage	
• embryos usually taken from IVF would be discarded if not used	
strict regulations prevent reproductive cloning	
research can add to scientific knowledge and have a global benefit	
Total	6